HOGAN & HARTSON LLP -1 David R. Singer (SBN 204699) 1999 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400 2 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 785-4600 Facsimile: (310) 785-4601 3 Email: drsinger@hhlaw.com 4 Attorneys for Plaintiff FIRST STATE INSURANCE COMPANY 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 FIRST STATE INSURANCE COMPANY, a Connecticut corporation, 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. 14 SAN DIEGO UNIFIED PORT DISTRICT, 15 a public agency, 16 Defendant. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

08 JUN 12 PM 12: 1 T

CLERY, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CP

DEPUTY

Case No. CV 1046 L RBB

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

\\LA - 002376/000622 - 389954 v1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

follows:

Plaintiff First State Insurance Company ("First State"), for its Complaint against Defendant San Diego Unified Port District ("Port of San Diego"), states and alleges as

Filed 06/12/2008

### NATURE OF THE ACTION

This is an action for declaratory relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 2201 1. relating to two Special Public Entity Difference in Condition Comprehensive Insurance Policies issued by First State to Port of San Diego (the "First State DIC Policies"). As set forth more fully below. First State seeks a judgment declaring that First State owes no obligation under the First State DIC Policies to defend Port of San Diego in two pending administrative proceedings before the California Regional Water Quality Control Board for the San Diego Region ("CRWQCB") relating to the alleged contamination of the Southwest Marine Shipyard and the National Steel and Shipbuilding Company Shipyard, or to pay any defense or indemnity costs related thereto.

#### THE PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff First State is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Connecticut with its principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- Port of San Diego is a special governmental entity created pursuant to 3. California Harbor & Navigations Code ("H&N Code") Appendix 1, § 1, et seq. Port of San Diego's headquarters are located in the City and County of San Diego, California.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 4. (a)(1), because plaintiff and defendant are citizens of different States and the amount in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs. An actual, justiciable controversy exists between the parties relating to their respective legal rights and duties warranting declaratory relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201 and Rule 57 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

27

8

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

21

20

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

- 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Port of San Diego because Port of San Diego is a governmental agency operating in this district and has consented to jurisdiction in this Court. H&N Code App. 1 § 23.
- Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a)(1), because it is the district where Port of San Diego resides.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

#### THE INVESTIGATIONS AND ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS A.

- 7. Defendant Port of San Diego is a public agency responsible for developing the San Diego harbor and enhancing its tidelands. H&N Code App. 1 § 4. Port of San Diego holds and manages certain sites as a Trustee on behalf of the People of California. H&N Code App. 1 §§ 5, 5.5. It possesses authority to protect, preserve, and enhance the natural resources and water quality of the San Diego Bay. H&N Code App. 1 §§ 4-5.
- Under the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act, CRWQCB has the 8. authority to investigate the quality of state waters in the San Diego region and to institute administrative proceedings in connection with violations of certain water quality provisions where appropriate. On February 19, 2004, the CRWQCB initiated such an investigation by issuing Investigation Order Nos. R9-2004-0026 ("Order No. 0026") and R9-2004-0027 ("Order No. 0027") to, inter alia, the Port of San Diego.
- In Order No. 0026, CRWQCB alleged that it found elevated levels of 9. contaminants at the Southwest Marine Shipyard located at 2205 East Belt in San Diego, California (the "Southwest Marine Site"). CRWQCB further alleged that Port of San Diego owns the tidelands and the submerged lands at the Southwest Marine Site, controls decisions regarding what type of facilities occupy lands adjacent to the San Diego Bay, and had the authority and ability to impose controls that could have prevented or reduced the discharge of pollutants from the Southwest Marine Site into the San Diego Bay. CRWQCB ordered Port of San Diego to conduct studies and produce a technical report regarding the contamination and abatement of the Southwest Marine Site. Under the order, Port of San

Filed 06/12/2008

- 10. In Order No. 0027, the CRWQCB alleged that it found elevated levels of hazardous pollutants at the National Steel and Shipbuilding Company Shipyard located at Harbor Drive and 28th Street in San Diego, California (the "NASSCO Site"). CRWQCB further alleged that the Port of San Diego owns the tidelands and the submerged lands at the NASSCO Site, controls decisions regarding what type of facilities occupy lands adjacent to the San Diego Bay, and had the authority and ability to impose controls that could have prevented or reduced the discharge of pollutants from the NASSCO Site into the San Diego Bay. CRWQCB ordered Port of San Diego to conduct studies and produce a technical report regarding the contamination and abatement of the NASSCO Site. Under the order, Port of San Diego was required to show cause why it should not be identified as a person responsible in the Cleanup and Abatement Order to be issued by CRWQCB.
- 11. On June 29, 2005, CRWQCB issued Tentative Cleanup and Abatement Order No. R9-2005-0126 for the Southwest Marine Site and NASSCO Site (the "Tentative Cleanup Order"). The Tentative Cleanup Order does not designate Port of San Diego as a person responsible for the contamination at either the Southwest Marine Site or the NASSCO Site. A Draft Technical Report of the CRWQCB issued in August 2007 states that the Board is not now naming Port of San Diego as a "discharger" in the Tentative Cleanup Order but may do so in the future if Port of San Diego's tenants do not comply with the Order after it is issued.
- 12. The CRWQCB has initiated administrative proceedings to determine whether it should adopt and issue the Tentative Cleanup Order. These administrative proceedings are ongoing and will culminate in an administrative hearing before the CRWQCB.
- 13. Upon information and belief, Port of San Diego has not been ordered by the CRWQCB to participate in the administrative proceedings relating to the Tentative Cleanup Order. Nonetheless, Port of San Diego has elected to participate in the proceedings voluntarily.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### B. THE FIRST STATE POLICIES AND THE COVERAGE DISPUTE

Filed 06/12/2008

- 14. First State issued two Special Public Entity Difference in Condition Comprehensive Liability Insurance policies to Port of San Diego for the policy periods May 1, 1980 to May 1, 1981 and May 1, 1981 to May 1, 1982, respectively. The First State DIC Policies, subject to their terms, conditions, limitations and exclusions, expressly provide gap coverage for claims not otherwise covered by Port of San Diego's comprehensive general liability insurance program. Copies of the First State DIC Policies are attached as Exhibit 1 hereto.
- The First State DIC Policies provide for a potential defense obligation in the 15. context of a "suit" for "damages." Specifically, the First State DIC Policies state in relevant part:
  - II. Defense, Settlement, Supplementary Payments

As respects such insurance as is afforded by this policy, the company shall:

(a) defend in his name and behalf any suit against the insured claiming such damages . . .

(First State DIC Policies at Insuring Agreements, Section II [emphasis added].)

- The First State DIC Policies contain a Provision ("Punitive Damage 16. Provision") that provides in relevant part for defense of specific types of claims seeking punitive damages pursuant to the Exemplary Damages section (Article 3) of the California Civil Code:
  - 2. Defense, Settlement, Supplementary Payments are amended to include
  - (a) defend any claim against the named insured or its employees for damages under Section 3294 of the Civil Code or otherwise for the sake of example or by way of punishment, where such claim arose from an act or omission in the scope of employment.

(First State DIC Policies at Endorsement, Special Provisions.)

17. The First State DIC Policies call for First State to indemnify the insured only for sums which the insured becomes liable to pay as "damages." The Policies state as follows:

1	
I	

4

6

7

5

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28

I. Coverage B – Property Damage Liability

To pay on behalf of the insured all sums which the insured shall become obligated to pay be reason of liability, imposed by law, including Chapter 1681 of the State of California Statutes of 1963, or liability assumed by contract, insofar as the named insured may legally do so, for damages because of injury to or destruction of property, including the loss of use thereof.

(First State DIC Policies at Endorsement, Special Provisions [emphasis added].)

- 18. The First State Policies provide that "[t]he insured shall not, except at his own cost, voluntarily make any payment, assume any obligation or incur any expense other than for such immediate medical or surgical relief to others as shall be imperative at the time of injury." (First State DIC Policies at Conditions, Paragraph 12.)
- 19. Port of San Diego has requested First State, pursuant to the First State DIC Policies, (i) to defend its interests in connection with the CRWQCB investigative orders and its voluntary participation in the administrative proceedings before the CRWQCB relating to the Southwest Marine Site and the NASSCO Site, and (ii) to indemnify it for any costs incurred in connection with any associated remediation or abatement.
- 20. First State believes that it owes no defense or indemnity obligations to Port of San Diego relating to any current or future CRWQCB investigations or administrative proceedings relating to the Southwest Marine Site and/or the NASSCO Site.

## **COUNT I**

#### DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

# No Obligation to Defend

- 21. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 20 above as though fully set forth herein.
- 22. Under the Defense, Settlement, Supplementary Payments provision set forth in Paragraph 15 above, First State's defense obligation is limited to "any suit against the insured."

23.	Under controlling Supreme Court authority in Foster-Gardner, Inc. v. National
Union Fire	Insurance Company, 18 Cal.4 <sup>th</sup> 875, 878 (1998), the CRWQCB investigations
and proceed	lings do not constitute a "suit".

- 24. Inasmuch as Port of San Diego has participated in the CRWQCB administrative proceedings voluntarily and without having been joined in a "suit", First State has no obligation to defend Port of San Diego, and, pursuant to the Condition set forth in Paragraph 18 above, First State has no obligation to pay or reimburse any defense costs voluntarily incurred by Port of San Diego.
- 25. Under the Punitive Damage Provision set forth in Paragraph 16 above, First State is potentially obligated to defend only specific types of claims against the Port of San Diego or its employees seeking damages for the sake of example or for punitive damages arising out of an act or omission by an employee of the insured in the scope of his or her employment. The CRWQCB investigations and administrative proceedings do not involve any claim or request for punitive damages.
- 26. Accordingly, First State has no obligation to defend Port of San Diego under either the Defense, Settlement, Supplementary Payments provision or the Punitive Damage Provision of the First State DIC Policies or to pay or reimburse any defense costs incurred in connection with the CRWQCB investigations and proceedings.

# **COUNT II**

# **DECLARATORY JUDGMENT**

# **No Obligation To Indemnify**

- 27. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 26 above as though fully set forth herein.
- 28. Under the Coverage B-Property Damage Liability provision set forth in Paragraph 17 above, First State's indemnity obligation is limited to sums which the insured "shall become obligated to pay . . . for *damages* because of injury to or destruction of property, including the loss of use thereof." (Emphasis supplied.)

- Under controlling Supreme Court authority in Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's 29. of London v. Supreme Court, 24 Cal.4th 945, 964 (2001), remediation expenses or cleanup costs which a party may incur or be ordered to pay in connection with CRWQCB proceedings do not constitute "damages."
- 30. The Punitive Damage Provision set forth in Paragraph 16 above contains no indemnity obligation.
- 31. Accordingly, First State has no obligation to indemnify Port of San Diego for any expenses or clean-up costs it might incur or be ordered to pay in connection with CRWOCB proceedings, because such expenses and costs do not constitute "damages" within the meaning of the Coverage B - Property Damage Liability provision and because the Punitive Damage Provision contains no indemnity obligation.

# **COUNT III**

## **DECLARATORY JUDGMENT**

# No Obligation to Defend or Indemnify If There is Coverage Under CGL Policies

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 31 32. above as though fully set forth herein.
- The First State DIC Policies afford coverage only for claims not covered by 33. Port of San Diego's general liability insurance policies. Port of San Diego has not informed First State that its general liability insurance policies do not cover its claims relating to the Southwest Marine Site and/or the NASSCO Site.
- Accordingly, First State has no duty to defend or indemnify Port of San Diego 34. with respect to claims relating to the Southwest Marine Site and/or the NASSCO Site to the extent there is coverage for such claims under Port of San Diego's general liability insurance policies.

27

28

2

3 4

6

5

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

# PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, First State requests the entry of judgment as follows:

- A declaration that First State has no obligation to provide Port of San Diego a defense in any current or future administrative proceedings before the CRWQCB, or investigations by the CRWQCB, involving the Southwest Marine Site and the NASSCO Site, or to pay defense costs in connection with the same.
- A declaration that First State has no obligation to indemnify Port of San Diego 2. Port of San Diego for expenses incurred in connection with any current or future administrative proceedings before the CRWQCB, or investigations by the CRWQCB, involving the Southwest Marine Site and the NASSCO Site, or for any associated clean up costs related thereto.

Bv

Such other relief as the Court deems appropriate. 3.

Date: June 11, 2008

**HQGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.** 

Singer

Attorneys for Plaintiff FIRST STATE INSURANCE COMPANY

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

# 151895 - TC

June 12, 2008 12:13:35

# Civ Fil Non-Pris

USAO #.: 08CV1046

Judge..: M. JAMES LORENZ

Amount.:

\$350.00 CK >

Check#.: BC30724

# Total-> \$350.00

FROM: FIRST STATE INSURANCE CO.

SAN DIEGO UNIFIED PORT DIST.

S 44 (Rev. 12/07)

# **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS	no mm 12	PH 12: 09			
First State Insurance Company			SandDiego Unified Port District				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Suffolk County,			CLERK. U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT County of Residence of First Listed Defendant				
. (	EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) Massachus	setts		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES			
			LAND	ID CONDEMNATION CASES, Y INVOLVED:	THE LOCATION OF THE		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Hogan & Hartson, LLP, 1999 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400, Los Angeles, CA 90067 310-785-4768; David R. Singer			Attorneys (If Knows	<b>)8 CV 1</b> 04	6 L RBB		
II. BASIS OF JURIS		III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff		
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	,	For Divertity Cases Only)	TF DEF 1 X3 1 Incorporated or Proof Business In Thi	and One Box for Defendant)  PTF FOFF  rincipal Place		
☐ 2 Ü.S. Government Defendant	X 4 Diversity  (Indicate Cirizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	1 2	Principal Place 7 0 5		
				3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6.		
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		eign Country		ALIC TENNESS SALES		
CIDNITRACID MINICLE	是一种,不是一种,但是一种,但是一种,但是一种,是一种,是一种,是一种,是一种,是一种,是一种,是一种,是一种,是一种,	353 E 1 O	RECHIOREMENTALITY =	AND A BANKRUETCYRAME	WEST OF THE RESTAURT OF THE STREET		
	PERSONAL INJURY   PERSONAL INJURY     310 Airplane   362 Personal Injury     Med. Majpractice	Y	Agriculture Other Food & Drug Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 Liquor Laws	O 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 O 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	400 State Reupportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce		
& Enforcement of Judgment  151 Medicare Act  152 Recovery of Defaulted  Student Loans	Slander 386 Asbestos Persona 330 Federal Employers Injury Product Liability Liability 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER	I □ 640 □ 650 □ 660	R.R. & Truck Airline Regs. Occupational Safety/Health	B20 Copyrights  820 Reprights  830 Patent  840 Trademark	460 Deportation 470 Racketer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV		
(Excl. Veterans)  153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits  160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability ☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ 70perty Damage	0 710	Other LABORIDADITATION Fair Labor Standards Act	##SOCIATESECURPHY/AFF###   □ 861 HIA (1395ff)   □ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 810 Selective Service		
190 Other Contract     195 Contract Product Liability     196 Franchise     196 Franchise	Product Liability 385 Property Damage  360 Other Personal Product Liability Injury  BINE CLASSIFIES PRISON FREEDITION	730	Labor/Mgmt. Relations Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(µ))	12 USC 3410 13 890 Other Statutory Actions 13 891 Agricultural Acts		
220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate ☐ 442 Employment Sentence	790	Railway Labor Act Other Labor Litigation Empl. Ret. Inc.	TEDERAL SUITS SUIT	892 Economic Stabilization Act     893 Environmental Matters     894 Energy Allocation Act		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment     240 Torts to Land     245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus: Accommodations ☐ 530 General		Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	895 Freedom of Information		
	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death Penalty ☐ 445 Amer, w/Disabilities ☐ 540 Mandamus & Othe Employment ☐ 550 Civil Rights	er   🗇 462	INMECHATION (273) Naturalization Application		900 Appeal of Fee Determination     Under Equal Access.,		
	Employment	/	Habeas Corpus - Hien Detainee		to Justice  1 950 Constitutionality of		
	440 Other Civil Rights		Other Immigration Actions		State Statutes		
V. ORIGIN  (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  7 1 Original							
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1332  Brief description of cause: Declaration	filing (De	(specific on not cite jurisdictions.	statutes unless diversity):	Judgment		
VII. REQUESTED IN	Brief description of cause: Declarator	y Juag	ment action	regarding insur			
COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	Decla	MANDS ratory Judgmo	ent CHECK YES only in JURY DEMAND:	f demanded in complaint:		
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (Sec instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER			
June 11, 2008 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD							
RECEIPT # 151845 AMOUNT \$350 APPLYING IPP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE							
TAC 6/12/08							